

Mary Kay Becker (Court of Appeals) affirmed James A. Doerty (Superior Court) which exacerbated damage to victims of elder abuse by granting impunity to their oppressors. Those decisions have allowed abuse to continue unabated while Council House directors and managers evade prosecution. Becker now aspires to a seat on the Washington Supreme Court. [*Impunity*]

These judges pander to powerful people and grant them impunity to get what they want by deception, distortion, and judicial compromise. In a consort, they demonize adversaries to achieve those goals. Like traditional bimbos they indulge wealthy and powerful people.

Becker has shown that she makes an admirable adjunct to the Council House board of directors as do superior court judges Anthony P. Wartnik, James A. Doerty, and Michael C. Hayden. She plays for a team that draws its players from the Seattle jurisprudential cesspool.

Becker, a legislator turned judge, has reserved the powers and responsibilities that she had as a legislator and used them in addition to those granted to her as a judge. She has, in a consort with Doerty, redefined legal interpretation and construction by merging them. In a similar way she has merged ethics and morals for political expediency. Consequently, she functions more as an out-of-control oligarch (sleazy politician) than a judge then denies due process of law. [*Court of Appeals - Opinion*]

Becker affirmed the unlawful and draconian actions of a neo-fascist superior court judge who jailed an elderly journalist for what he wrote, denied him a lawyer or any opportunity to prove himself innocent of any crime, then sent him to jail and solitary confinement.

Doerty denied due process of law by abrogating constitutional rights. In particular, he denied the right to: timely notice; prepare a defense; confront accusers; cross-examine witnesses; legal counsel; and, impartial and unbiased hearings; then Becker affirmed his decisions by general denial.

Judicial canons call for judges to take appropriate disciplinary action when they have knowledge of another judge committing a violation of the judicial code. Instead, with full knowledge of Doerty's judicial misconduct, Becker affirmed his decisions. [*Judicial Misconduct and the Law*]

Judicial canons also call for disciplinary action when a judge has a personal financial stake in equity dealings with his wife that forms part of evidence in a case under adjudication. Anthony P. Wartnik (Superior Court), with his wife Lynn, has a personal interest in equity that Doerty has protected and Becker has affirmed. She has also affirmed all his rants and rambles - an act specifically proscribed by judicial canons. Moreover, she has revealed her own bias by selectively using documents filed with the trial court knowing them to contain perjury and allowed the trial judge to suppress transcripts and other evidence. [*Court of Appeals - Introduction*]

Council House succeeded in sending this reporter to solitary confinement on trumped-up contempt charges and perjured testimony. Becker's affirmation (14 Jun 04) ranks as nothing more than another politically motivated stitch-up. It conforms to a legal maxim which postulates that civil law cannot abrogate a presumed right of blood and kindred - political or racial motivation that occurs within a clan to which she belongs or aspires.

[*Court of Appeals Opinion - Commentary*]

Whether one works as a journalist or writes and speaks for pleasure, Becker's decision affects everyone. If not reversed then it diminishes First Amendment rights. Second only to elder abuse for its chutzpah, Becker's opinion replicates the massive cover up of alleged crimes by Council House directors and judicial misconduct by trial court Judge James A. Doerty. Becker has allowed them to do an end run around applicable laws. [*Impunity*]

Judicial codes provide a framework that judges must respect. They must honor the judicial office as a public trust and strive to enhance and maintain confidence in the overall legal system both individually and collectively. They serve at the pleasure of the electorate. They must always strive to enhance and maintain confidence in the legal system. [*Code of Judicial Conduct*]

Justice requires that the public perceive equity and fairness - it must not only be done but be seen to be done. Both judges and lawyers must conduct themselves by general standards which call for a consistently high level of moral and ethical behavior. Becker has ignored those standards through bias and by repeating ad hominem contrary to judicial canons.

After her Kafkaesque performance, the public should not allow her to swim out of the Seattle judicial cesspool to pollute the (relatively) pristine Olympian waters. Supreme Court does not need a sleazy politician who has condoned judicial and lawyer misconduct and pandered to wealthy oligarches. These bimbos rank no better than the vermin whose elder abuse they condone and to whom they grant impunity and anonymity. [*The Scab Family*]

Ample proof of judicial misconduct appears in the linked articles which should persuade voters not to elect Becker to Washington Supreme Court.

© Copyright 2004 by Paul Trummel
All Rights Reserved: 09 Sep 04/16:07
Edition: #806-34-00/04-0920-1234
Feedback: Webspinner@ContraCabal.org