

Stephen (Stefan) A. Mitchell refers to himself as the "music minister" and uses freedom of expression for that self-portrayal; however, his spiritual hypocrisy supports neo-fascism and denial of freedom of expression to others in his role as a landlord.

Stephen A. Mitchell, Council House, Seattle, besieged by federal and state lawsuits connected with his elder abuse and racism, responded to them in the only way that he knows how - with lies and deceit. He has for seven years relied upon Felipe Jacques, his principal Kapo (thug), to write and distribute false and misleading information about tenants to cover up elder abuse. [*Elder Abuse - Introduction*]

Thomas A. Carr, Seattle City Attorney and Jeaneen Watkins, Harassment Advocate, aided and abetted by lawyers in their office, have covered up Council House crimes for several years. Instead of prosecuting Council House directors and employees, Carr has maliciously prosecuted their victims. Malicious prosecution classifies as a felony. Any person without probable cause who fabricates reasons to arrest innocent people and attempts to prosecute them commits a class C felony punishable upon conviction with imprisonment in a state correctional facility for not more than five years. [*RCW 9.62.010*] [*Kill the Messenger*] [*Seattle City Attorney*]

Mitchell has repeatedly used Seattle Police Department as his private Gestapo while Carr knowingly and maliciously prosecutes criminal charges based upon perjured testimony filed by Mitchell and his directors against victims of elder abuse. Watkins (whom Carr supervises) does not follow up on criminal complaints filed by Council House tenants and ignores requests for help by elderly people in support of Carr's machination. Two instances of alleged homicide by abuse have occurred on her watch. [*Homicide by Abuse*]

Mitchell and Jacques, with other Kapos, Robert Cowdin, Michael Johnson, Nathaniel Stahl, and Virginia Lambert, violently assaulted tenants when they did not comply with unlawful orders. Mitchell then filed disinformation with government agencies and committed perjury in declarations filed as evidence in frivolous and capricious criminal lawsuits. Successive Council House presidents - Donald G. Cohan, Lynn C. Wartnik, Sheila Sternberg, Donald R. Silverman, and Victor J. DeLeon have condoned these practices and several of them have supported Mitchell by filing perjured testimony. Other directors have filed perjured declarations and suborned elderly people to have tenants incarcerated at Mitchell's behest. [*Conspiracy 2001*] [*Conspiracy 2002*] [*Five Kapos*] [*Directors' Names and Addresses*] [*Seattle Jewish Mafia*]

Offering False Instrument for Filing or Record - RCW 40.16.030

Every person who shall knowingly procure or offer any false or forged instrument to be filed, registered, or recorded in any public office, which instrument, if genuine, might be filed, registered or recorded in such office under any law of this state or of the United States, is guilty of a class C felony and shall be punished by imprisonment in a state correctional

facility for not more than five years, or by a fine of not more than five thousand dollars, or by both. [RCW 40.16.030]

Making a False or Misleading Statement to a Public Servant - RCW 9A.76.175

A person who knowingly makes a false or misleading material statement to a public servant is guilty of a gross misdemeanor. Material statement means a written or oral statement reasonably likely to be relied upon by a public servant in the discharge of his or her official powers or duties. Every person convicted of a gross misdemeanor shall be punished by imprisonment in the county jail for a maximum term fixed by the court of not more than one year, or by a fine in an amount fixed by the court of not more than five thousand dollars, or by both such imprisonment and fine. [RCW 9A.76.175]

Mitchell uses the pseudonym Stefan and refers to himself as the “music minister”, probably better defined as “musick monster”. His self-portrayal exemplifies his own freedom of expression under the First Amendment but also displays the utter hypocrisy of misplaced spirituality and subversion of religion to support neo-fascism in his role as a landlord.

“Stefan”, like Jim Jones, considers that he possesses divine provenance and claims that “Reverend” Bruner, another “minister”, says: “To listen to Stefan is to have heard God”. Several hundred of his victims claim otherwise.

A background check on Reverend Bruner found him “excitedly pursuing the path of forgiveness following a recent encounter at Baskin-Robbins”. This can only define as charismatic lunacy when a sociopathic landlord applies similar standards to control tenants in an apartment block.

Rev. Dr. Kathianne Lewis, Senior Minister, Center for Spiritual Living, Seattle says: “I love the music of Stefan Mitchell. He’s one of my very favorite singers. Not only does he have a great voice, a great talent, but a huge heart that he shares with everyone. You can’t listen to Stefan sing without [your pocket] being touched at a deep level.”

Mitchell must be a real liability to the spirituality of that community when he charges the center \$75.00 for each chirp. Such is the provenance of many sociopathic, charismatic monsters who cater to self-aggrandizement and profit while “ministering” to their flock.

[Tyrant by Day: Saint by Night] [Another Jim Jones?]

Reprise

National Council of Jewish Women (NCJW) formed a non-profit corporation then built and later refurbished Council House, 1501 17th Avenue, Seattle, Washington. The corporation rents independent-living apartments to senior citizens. The apartment block does not classify as a nursing home and does not legally house vulnerable adults. Mitchell repeatedly tries to reclassify Council House as a nursing home to cover up multiple violation of laws and to support the fiduciary powers that he claims that he has over tenants.

US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) designates Council House as a residence for independent living. Mitchell and his directors fail to realize that the term

"independent living" means that management and staff must confine their activity to maintaining and running the facility. They must neither coercively persuade the residents into any particular behavior or life-style nor force them to accept any particular ideology or religious belief; however, they continue to do so and HUD acquiesces to it. [*Agency Accountability*]

To insure full occupancy and increased income, the directors unlawfully rent apartments to vulnerable adults to the discomfort and danger of people living independently. In any dictionary their behavior defines as elder abuse. When people die as a result of that behavior their deaths classify as homicide by abuse. [*Vulnerable Adults*]

At least two vulnerable adults have died from neglect and abuse and the directors have had five independent tenants unlawfully incarcerated. They have since covered up the deaths. In one case the victim's family and a Council House administrator benefitted financially by defrauding both federal and state agencies prior to her death and by disposing of her assets. Several more tenants remain at risk and suffer daily abuse at the hands of administrators. [*Who Killed Jackie Nations?*]

Homicide by Abuse

Homicide by abuse defines as extreme indifference to human life that causes death of a vulnerable adult through a pattern or practice of neglect.

Stephen A. Mitchell and his team of thugs have terrorized dozens of elderly people for more than seven years. Two deaths from neglect and abuse have occurred for which Mitchell and his assistant Audrey F. Dunbar must hold ultimate responsibility.

Homicide ranks as a class A felony punishable by a maximum sentence of life imprisonment in a state correctional institution or by a fine of fifty thousand dollars or both.

Neglect means a pattern of conduct or inaction by a person or entity with a duty of care that fails to provide the goods and services that maintain physical or mental health.

Thomas A. Carr, City Attorney, City of Seattle, his assistant Jeaneen Watkins, Harassment Advocate and other government officials have since covered up the deaths which effectively makes them accessories after the fact. [*Homicide by Abuse*]

Both managers and elitist tenants discriminate against vulnerable adults because of their infirmities. That classifies as elder abuse. Elder abuse consists of willful action or inaction that inflicts injury, unreasonable confinement, intimidation, or punishment. Moreover administrators exploit vulnerable adults by compelling or exerting undue influence over them to perform services that benefit managers. That financial exploitation has led to the illegal or improper use of the property, income, resources, or trust funds of vulnerable adults.

Fraud, Deception and Dysfunction

Mitchell, who obtained employment using fraudulent credentials, turns attention away from himself by attempting to discredit other people. Mitchell, an out-of-work actor, acted his way into his present position without any history of achievement. Council House pays him a salary in excess of \$60,000/pa; more than three times the salary that he previously earned.

An inferiority complex from lack of achievement causes him to destroy the credibility of other people with a lifetime of achievement by declaring them mentally incompetent. This transference ranks as extremely dangerous for tenants when applied by landlords who have ulterior racist and financial motives.

Transference defines as an unconscious tendency of a person to assign to others in the present and immediate environment those feelings and attitudes originally linked with psychological dysfunction in early life carried forward into maturity. Analysis of transference phenomena ranks as a major therapeutic tool in both individual and group therapy to help patients gain insight into their behavior and its origins - something Mitchell needs to explore and (probably to a lesser degree) Cohan, Wartnik, Sternberg, Silverman, and DeLeon who also project their own dysfunction onto others.

Narcissistic dysfunction causes Mitchell to keep a tight rein on information dissemination and computer access by banning pamphleteers, closing the computer lab, and allowing only favored people to have broadband connections. From a verbal perspective, he responds to any opinion with which he does not agree with threats of eviction for "rude and abusive behavior", a catch-all phrase that he has inserted into lease addenda. A court ruled the expression ambiguous when Mitchell could not define the term when deposed under oath.

Mitchell uses deliberate provocation to create situations that could give him grounds for eviction. He choreographs scenes best suited to infuriate his intended victims, then acts them out. If the victims respond sharply or complain to HUD about him then he evicts them for "rude and abusive behavior".

Lynn C. Wartnik and Sheila Sternberg, as former co-presidents, forged federal documents to give their goy toy-boy a full-time position as administrator of Council House despite his lack of credentials. However, they made his contract subject to instant dismissal for dishonesty and failure to meet expectations.

Each year the board reviews and measures Mitchell's performance against goals they mutually set which effectively makes them complicit in his illegal activities. The contract says that Mitchell reports to the president and has responsibility for managing staff and facilities at Council House pursuant to directions of the board also HUD and State of Washington regulations. This statement acknowledges that the board and their staff classify as state actors which Mitchell has vehemently denied.

Mitchell signed a confidentiality agreement which states that he must not disclose secret, confidential, or non-public information during the term of his employment and thereafter. DeLeon must know that Mitchell has no ethics or morals and will immediately blow the whistle and make the directors liable for jail time if he fires Mitchell without buying his silence.

DeLeon does not fire Mitchell for his repeated dishonesty and violation of laws. As his principal, DeLeon probably fears indicting himself if he breaks the bond of mutual blackmail. He has fallen into a pernicious catch-22 similar to the one that Mitchell uses to control tenants.

Mitchell decided to ratchet up coercion of Council House tenants to the point of no return by persuading tenants to file multiple perjury in his behalf. In desperation, he could only use propaganda to relieve his situation because lawyers had him baffled. Like a rat in a corner he went for the throat by attacking the credentials and psychological condition of people with whom he disagreed using transference.

The directors do not realize that complicity in lying and perjury must return to haunt them. Their perjury and subornation have already made them pay extremely high legal fees and costs and potential suits for damages could cost them hundreds of thousands of dollars. Consequently, they should probably discourage dishonesty on strategic grounds even if they cannot do it from a moral perspective.

The term freedom of expression becomes meaningless without freedom to publish ideas and opinion. Mitchell silences dissenters with anti-harassment orders that construe as unlawful prior restraint then jails them. Council House directors and judges have shown an indifference to evil and a subservient local media supports them - indifference to evil is evil. [*Propaganda*]

Racism and Civil Rights

Racism and civil rights violations by Mitchell, his directors, lawyers, staff, Kapos (enforcers), and some tenants at Council House have allowed unlawful evictions of physically and financially disadvantaged elderly people. Directors have shown indifference to tenant abuse by managers and their thugs. They have denied tenants their right to complain in violation of their constitutional right to freedom of expression. They have also either threatened them with eviction or evicted them for filing legitimate complaints with government agencies. [*Whitewash*]

Seattle Office of Civil Rights (SOCR) consorted with a Council House lawyer to conduct a whitewash of multiple instances of elder abuse and racism. By that, they violated Civil Rights Act Title VIII and Seattle Open Housing Ordinance. The lawyer, Gretchen Herbison (formerly of Bullivant Houser Bailey), filed false and misleading reports provided by Mitchell with SOCR which it has not removed from the record.

Herbison altered records to cover up rampant racism that exists at Council House. She transmitted confidential information to Mitchell who immediately tampered with some witnesses and intimidated others. [*Whitewash*]

Mitchell has an irrational hate for black people and anyone with a physical disability, particularly people permanently confined to wheelchairs. He has repeatedly ridiculed physical disabilities as he did repeatedly with Naftali Nathan Vilensky (72†) until three days before his death - allegedly a homicide by abuse. [*Last Cruel Days. Homicide?*]

In the latest confrontation, he physically attacked Hawk. This forms part of his overall strategy to rid Council House of handicapped people as part of an ethnic cleansing program that Herbison whitewashed. Her reward for her professional misconduct - employment as a highly paid lawyer with King County Department of Executive Services. [*Hawk and the Raven*]

Investigation revealed an extensive pattern or practice of elder abuse, violation of civil and human rights, and denial of due process of law. The term "pattern or practice" means an intentional violation of rights granted by the Civil Rights Act evidenced by more than an isolated instance, or by regular repeated conduct in violation of that Act.

John W. Meyers, HUD; Thomas A. Carr, City Attorney, City of Seattle; Germaine W. Covington, Director, Seattle Office of Civil Rights (SOCR); Norm Maleng, King County Prosecuting Attorney; and their employees, have neglected to investigate allegations of racism, elder abuse, and homicide by abuse. They apparently covered up those crimes for political expediency. Consequently, tenants filed in US District Court, Western District of Washington, for injunctive relief. [*Constitutional Rights Initiative*]

Socio-pathology

Tenants have had many confrontations with Mitchell and his Kapos. Several of those confrontations have resulted in incarceration and torture based upon Mitchell's perjured testimony and manufactured declarations suborned from tenants. During one of those confrontations, Mitchell approached a tenant inside Council House and spoke provocatively in a way that a parent may chastise a child. In any event, the issues that he wanted to raise classified as *sub judice* at the time and he should not have made any approach while under a temporary restraining order granted to that tenant. [*Metamorphosis*]

He then acted out a demeaning theatrical fantasy for the benefit of about twenty tenants as he has done at many tenant meetings when anyone asked a question that he could not or would not answer. The tenant left the building to avoid further humiliation. [*Thespian Liar's Liars*]

Mitchell followed him into the street screaming like a man in anima. In man, the anima (feminine) behaves like a different personality. Normal men understand individuation and do not react to the anima; however, Mitchell lives in his persona most of the time and, unlike most actors, he does not emerge from it when he leaves the stage. This indicates a serious psychological condition to an extent where he cannot function as a normal man and emulates a hysterical woman. [*Thespian Liar*]

Typically, if anyone walks away from Mitchell when he berates them he goes into a hysterical state as though someone pushed his hysteria button. He cannot control himself when rejected. He has shown a pattern of similar behavior with a succession of tenants. [*Histrionics and Narcissism*]

If someone he wants to speak with indicates that they have no wish to converse with him, then he has a tantrum or becomes violent. People avoid conversation with him because he will not

allow a response or discussion without his becoming threatened. He must have absolute control of any discussion without wishing to listen to a response. If challenged, he becomes menacing and demonstrates absolute insecurity before he goes completely out of control. He has a history of retaliating against anyone who criticizes him or testifies against him by using thugs to intimidate them. [*Five Kapos*]

Past and present Council House officers and directors condoned Elder Abuse: the neglect and exploitation of people over sixty. [*Names and Addresses of Officers and Directors at Council House, Seattle*]

Many of their tenants will not report abuse to government agencies in fear of retaliation. Directors rely upon dereliction by oversight agencies. Managers collude with government officials to evade their mutual responsibility to comply with the law. Administrators, directors, and elite tenants profile as cruel and inhuman - they have violated laws and allegedly committed homicide by abuse.

Alleged Offenses: Incarcerated tenants without due process or probable cause. Practiced coercion, bribery, blackmail, threatening behavior, subornation, perjury and at least two cases of homicide by abuse. Abused elderly tenants including humiliation, ostracism, unlawful eviction, and harassment based upon manufactured evidence that they violated their leases and fictional acts of trespass. Implemented search and seizure of tenants' property and unlawfully entered their apartments. Bribed a network of informers to file false reports with police and agencies. Filed false reports with government agencies about tenants and disseminated libelous propaganda to their families and friends. Published multiple libel in public media and made false statements to reporters to cover up crimes. Tried to persuade an internet service provider (ISP) to remove a tenant's web site from the Internet. Filed perjury to cause prior restraint and removal of a web site by falsely claiming that the publisher was violent and insane. Lied pathologically and choreographed surreal scenarios. Tampered with court witnesses and practiced racial and religious intolerance. Allowed and actively encouraged Kapos (thugs) to use deliberate assault or battery on tenants.

Fourth Degree Assault

During 2006, Council House directors either lost or had dismissed at least 10 frivolous or capricious lawsuits. They filed false and misleading motions against tenants or tenants brought actions to protect themselves against the sociopathic behavior of Mitchell and his Kapos condoned by Victor J. DeLeon, Council House President. The tenants eventually prevailed in courts at all levels to Washington supreme court despite judicial misconduct by several corrupt straw judges who published biased findings to support Council House directors.

Mitchell and his assistant Audrey F. Dunbar have filed hundreds of false and misleading police reports against tenants and committed many more unlawful evictions, most of them based upon racial criteria. Mitchell and Dunbar continue their rampage with DeLeon's blessing.

In particular, Mitchell continues to retaliate against Lauren Jeanne Hawk (66) who, confined to a wheelchair with a spinal affliction, diabetes, and a very serious heart condition, effectively won her case against Mitchell and Council House. Through persistence, she retains her tenancy at

Council House and will receive an award of lawyer fees and costs amounting to \$63,000. Council House will probably have to pay an equivalent amount to their own lawyers. Hawk prevailed when she challenged the court about an attempt by Mitchell to evict her using perjured and suborned testimony.

After Hawk had Mitchell's case dismissed, Mitchell violently assaulted her; however, Seattle Police Department failed to arrest him. Instead, as in many other violent assaults by Kapos at Council House, police supported Mitchell's sociopathic ravaging while DeLeon refused to speak with the victims. Mitchell and his Kapos should have been arrested on several occasions for similar elder abuse. They have so many complaints against them that punishment would probably add up to a life sentence in an unbiased judicial system. [*Hawk and the Raven*]

However, they could probably avoid sentencing by pleading diminished capacity. In criminal law, diminished capacity or responsibility can provide a potential defense by which defendants argue that although they broke the law, they should not be held criminally liable for doing so, as their mental functions were diminished or impaired.

Mitchell's alleged physical assault upon Hawk ranks as a serious criminal offense especially when the violence took place against a disabled person over the age of 60. If she had died, upon conviction he could have gone to prison for life and/or paid a fine of \$50,000. [RCW 9A.36]

Statement by Lauren Jeanne Hawk on Harassment by Stephen A. Mitchell

Hawk claimed that she sat in the Council House dining room at about 09:00 (29 Sep 05) talking to Pola Doenyas about a motion that Council House had filed to dismiss her case. Mitchell walked in, started to listen to her conversation, and talk to her. Hawk says that, due to a no contact order, she had no intention of speaking with him and that he knew that he must speak to her only through her lawyer.

Mitchell persisted so Hawk told him: "I don't want to talk to you, leave me alone". She says that Mitchell hesitated then said: "So we can't get along." Hawk says that she repeated: "I don't want to talk to you, leave me alone" and kept repeating it so that no misunderstanding would occur.

Hawk said Mitchell moved toward her still trying to talk to her. When she tried to move around him in her wheelchair, still repeating the phrase, he grabbed her by the shoulder and Billie Jean Hoffman physically assaulted her by hitting her over the head with papers.

Hawk said that both Mitchell and Hoffman knew that any contact with her causes extreme pain. She said that she again shouted: "Leave me alone" and wheeled toward the elevator with Mitchell following her.

Hawk said that she again said: "Leave me alone or I will call the police." She said that she kept repeating the same phrase so that no mistake of her intention would occur.

When she reached the elevator she found that Mitchell had followed in "hot pursuit". When he tried to follow her into the elevator she jammed her wheelchair in the door so that he could not get in.

She said that she called out for help then Mitchell “stuck his face” in hers and said “with great viciousness” “You are going to hell” which he repeated then turned away. Mitchell then asked Hoffman to file a complaint against Hawk for yelling at him.

To any rational person, the treatment of Hawk by Mitchell and Billy Jean Hoffman classifies as fourth degree assault which ranks as a gross misdemeanor. In the state of Washington, a gross misdemeanor carries with it maximum punishment of one year imprisonment and/or \$5,000 fine. This does not take into account any additional charges and punishment for elder abuse. [RCW 9A.36.041]

Seattle police department officers arrived and instead of taking a complaint from the victim they interviewed Mitchell. When Hawk’s lawyers appeared and requested a copy of the security tape showing the incident, that portion of the tape had disappeared.

Police officers evidently took Hoffman’s name as a witness for Mitchell despite her having physically assaulted Hawk herself during the same incident. Hawk says that they would not interview her or take the names of her witnesses. The police have repeatedly maintained an attitude of benign neglect with complaints by tenants and have consistently sided with Mitchell, a proven pathological liar, who seems to have them and anyone connected with them completely snowed.

Psychiatric Manipulation and Retaliation

Hawk claims that Mitchell and DeLeon employed a “social worker” Judy Newman in yet another attempt to psychoanalyze her then unlawfully evict or have her confined despite her recent success in court when she challenged Mitchell’s previous elder abuse. Hawk’s encounter with Newman resembles the encounter that JoRene Dodson, a former tenant, had with Katrina M. Ramsburg posing as a social worker. Mitchell setup Dodson then unlawfully evicted her, an act that former Council House Co-Presidents Lynn C. Wartnik (wife of former Superior Court Judge Anthony P. Wartnik) and Sheila Sternberg condoned.

Hawk says that Newman telephoned her then psychologically assessed her without her prior knowledge or consent on instructions given by Mitchell and DeLeon. This could construe as a deliberate invasion by a landlord on the common law right to privacy of a tenant living independently in a government-subsidized apartment block - shades of the Dodson encounter several years before. [*Contractor’s Daughter*] [*Travesty*]

Newman posed as a board member to check a complaint by Lauren Jeanne Hawk against Mitchell while she clandestinely tried to check Hawk’s mental health condition. Hawk had previously contacted DeLeon on several occasions with requests to speak with him about Mitchell’s harassment but he declined to speak with her. Instead, DeLeon allegedly sent Newman to investigate Hawk’s psychological condition. Apparently, he wanted to effect a psychological transference of Mitchell’s sociopathic condition onto Hawk which would give Mitchell an opportunity to evict her - a *modus operandi*.

Using phoney social worker credentials, Mitchell previously choreographed events to cause at least five incarcerations and many unlawful evictions through a process of transference which borders upon insanity. He employs Kapos (thugs or enforcers) with similar dysfunctions to terrorize tenants. The Kapos all have a history of mental health problems over several decades - psychotic birds flocking together to claim that everyone is crazy but them.

Hawk filed complaints with Council House attorneys against Mitchell for harassment and elder abuse. She says that Newman telephoned her ostensibly to investigate that complaint. Newman represented herself as a recently appointed Council House director. When Hawk questioned her about her credentials Newman told her that she held qualifications as a social worker.

Hawk concluded from the conversation that Newman tried to investigate her not Mitchell. It transpired that Mitchell, DeLeon, and Newman had choreographed a fishing expedition to try to prove that Hawk had mental health problems, the first stage in another unlawful eviction process. Hawk claims that she has since reported this illegal psychiatric assessment to Seattle Police Department (SPD) as more harassment and elder abuse.

Further investigation disclosed that Newman telephoned Hawk from the Seattle office of King County Public Hospital District #2, dba Evergreen Healthcare, Home Mental Health Services (Evergreen) where she apparently works - a point that Newman did not disclose to Hawk during their telephone conversation.

Evergreen provides mental health services and claims that it has both an in-home mental health program and a geriatric crisis service with clinicians that have extensive experience and training. They work with adults and older adults suffering from physical disabilities, medical illnesses or psychiatric disabilities. Their geriatric crisis service is a specialized crisis intervention and stabilization service available to older adults in King County and staffed by geriatric mental health specialists, social workers, an on-call occupational therapist, and a psychiatrist. Evergreen employees must not act without client approval and certainly must not conduct psychiatric sting operations.

The author filed public records requests with Evergreen (05 Feb 07) to verify what Hawk had said. Beth Zborowski, Media and Communications Coordinator, Evergreen, referred a request for copies of employment records from Newman's personnel file to Laurene H. Burton, Director Governance and Community Services. After two weeks procrastination, Burton claimed that employment and social worker credentials for Newman do not exist in Evergreen files although she did not deny that Newman worked for Evergreen. Burton signed the letter as "Public Disclosure Act Officer".

Burton claimed that Newman did not submit a curriculum vitae when Evergreen hired her despite state law that requires full disclosure of education, professional experience, and job qualifications prior to employment. Burton's general denial prompted another request for copies of documents from the personnel files of both Burton and Newman to which Burton did not respond. [*Silent Withholding*]

Disingenuously, Burton implied that Evergreen had checked Newman's social worker qualifications by ambiguously stating in a letter: "As to licensure, the District verifies licensure on a state website set up for that purpose and does not maintain a paper copy of such. It only notes electronically that licensure is current". That web site showed no record of Newman as a licensed social worker (21 Feb 07).

No public information exists that Burton holds a public disclosure officer appointment with Evergreen. Zborowski appears to be covering up that implication by silently withholding a copy of the public information list of Evergreen public disclosure officers required by state law.

King County Department of Executive Services employs Paula Adams as its Public Disclosure Officer. Adams claims that King County has no regulatory authority over Evergreen (King County Public Hospital District #2, dba Evergreen Healthcare). However, Evergreen has five commissioners elected under state law and Evergreen, located within King County, has its organization proceedings recorded in files in the office of King County legislative authority.

A reasonable person must question that Evergreen employs a mental health social worker without investigating her background or requesting a *curriculum vitae* then lets her loose to conduct sting operations on unsuspecting elderly people. Her covert investigation implies a cover-up of Mitchell's repeated sociopathic behavior, the Hawk incident, and the unlawful incarceration of Dodson and other tenants which City of Seattle and King County have not addressed although cognizant of the facts for several years.

A well-documented misuse of therapy by phoney social workers and mental health counselors at Council House shows a pattern or practice of denial of human rights. Council House directors repeatedly choreograph mental health scenarios to control, abuse, and incarcerate elderly people if they complain about harassment.

Privacy Issues

US Constitution does not mention any particular right to privacy. Disputes over privacy issues have always had a common law resolution. However, courts have recognized a constitutional right against government invasion of privacy similar to the right against search and seizure. Mitchell, DeLeon, and Newman must not invade tenant privacy both under common law and constitutional law that controls state actors.

Council House, nominally a private entity, becomes a state actor because government has a mandated say in its management for purposes of the Fourteenth Amendment. Therefore, federal regulations govern all aspects of landlord-tenant relationships. [*First and Fourteenth - State Actors*]

Private landlords participating in federal housing programs become state actors when they receive mortgage benefits and rent supplements from Federal Housing Authority (FHA). Restrictions

imposed on the owner by FHA lease forms and regulatory agreements underscore the purpose to make private capital a tool of government housing policy.

As state actors, the directors intertwine with HUD officials. That interlacing precludes individual discretion by board members in defining terms that relate to termination of tenancy. Federal and state laws also provide the right to protest discrimination or enforce rights to equal treatment. Federal, state, and municipal commissions investigate and enforce equal rights not individual social workers employed by board members apparently to investigate themselves.

Council House board of directors apparently employed Newman to telephone Hawk posing as a recently appointed member of the board of directors to discuss harassment complaints against Mitchell which Hawk had filed with DeLeon. Newman neither disclosed that she worked for Evergreen Healthcare, Mental Health Department, nor provided copies of her social worker credentials or identification as required by law. [*Mental Health Counselors*] [*Social Workers*]

Washington State law requires social workers to register and to provide clients with accurate disclosure information upon initial contact. The information must include relevant education and training, therapeutic orientation, and proposed course of treatment. The social worker must inform the client of the purpose and resources available including the right to refuse treatment. Both counselor and client must acknowledge in writing the exchange of that information. According to Hawk, Newman did not comply with any of these requirements of state law.

By claiming to be a director of Council House, Newman automatically became a state actor. Her employment with Evergreen probably also places her in that category. Moreover, State of Washington, Secretary of State, Corporations Division records for non-profit corporations show no record of Newman as an officer, board member, director or in any other Council House capacity. [*Evergreen Healthcare*]

If Council House directors needed to know details of Mitchell's sociopathic personality disorder, then they only had to recover from their denial of reality and read *Contra Cabal*. They do not need to send undercover mental health workers to interrogate tenants to find the truth. Wartnik, DeLeon, and Silverman are not new to machination; they arbitrarily declared another tenant mentally incompetent. They also filed perjured declarations and denied the right to due process of law to effect movement of another elderly tenant to solitary confinement where he was tortured. [*Metamorphosis*] [*Cruel and Unusual Punishment*]

Evergreen apparently accepts false and misleading direction by Mitchell who poses as a mental health specialist then complies with his requests. Although both King County and City of Seattle prosecutory authorities have received several notices and many complaints about unlawful referral of healthy people to mental health establishments, they have done nothing to stop the practice. This has resulted in at least two alleged homicides by abuse and incarceration of several other people. The current attempt to intimidate Hawk shows that the practice continues unrestrained.

Statement by Lauren Jeanne Hawk on Retaliatory Psychological Examination

Lauren Jeanne Hawk (66) graduated cum laude from San Jose State University, CA in 1979 with a bachelor of science degree in behavioral science and an associate degree in psychology with a minor in criminology. Her junior and senior years were composed of graduate studies in behavioral science.

Hawk first worked as a resocialization counselor for the veterans administration in Palo Alto, CA, then as a suicide and crisis intervention mental health counselor in Santa Clara, CA. Later she became a family therapist in St Louis, MO and taught sociology as a teaching assistant. She has also worked in many other diverse settings.

Hawk writes: "One would think that after incarcerating several tenants and losing a string of lawsuits Council House would have learned a lesson about this type of aberrant behavior. Newman claimed her status as 'board member' and did not mention mental health counselor, deliberately to try to find me a threat so that Mitchell could have me confined as I have been working with police on homicide by elder abuse charges against him.

Newman did not identify herself other than by stating that she was a board member. When I asked how long she has been on the board and what her background was she said she was "recently" added and she was just a social worker. I asked if she had a psych background so that I would know what terminology to use in reference to describing Mitchell to her and she said she was just a social worker.

Throughout my interview with her, ostensibly to discuss Mitchell's ongoing negative behaviors, she didn't appear to be disturbed by the fact that he is constantly verbally abusive. She said she would ask the board to tell him to not degrade tenants in public any more but the fact that he has harmed many didn't seem to phase her. When I said that wouldn't stop him and was only a part of his pathological behavior she said she was only interested in specific things she could 'fix'.

I said Mitchell has an anti-social disorder (sociopathic) and a whole system of behaviors that needed to be addressed and could only be done effectively in a therapeutic setting where he could have external controls applied to him. She said she only wanted to hear specific behaviors that the board could address.

It seemed like I was talking to a wall. I realized she had come to assess me under the guise of wanting to help me stop the harassment by Mitchell. Later I called her number using my caller ID and found out that she is really a mental health worker. I realized after thinking about her questions she was trying to make me look like a danger to Mitchell which could be translated into making me into a dangerous person. Then I too could be incarcerated.

Permanently confined to a wheelchair, I am no physical danger to anyone and certainly not suicidal so I could not be legally incarcerated but law has not stopped Mitchell and Council House directors so far. The only danger I pose is that I might expose what is really going on with Mitchell and his abusive staff at Council House. They cannot stand exposure and are totally willing to do anything, including killing me with stress, to hide the truth.

My death would be another homicide by abuse as Mitchell continues to terrorize me. Two weeks ago he threatened to manufacture a reason to get the police to arrest me and said: 'Even if you get out in an hour it will be too late as your things, including your cats, will be put out in the street.' These people will do anything and run over anyone in their path to meet their financial goals.

People should call Seattle Mayor Gregory J. Nickels, and ask why his city attorney, Thomas A. Carr, continues to support Stephen Mitchell and Council House directors in their persecution of seniors by filing false and misleading reports with police. They should ask why Carr brings malicious criminal charges against their victims, who just want to live in peace, instead of prosecuting Mitchell. They should also ask why Jeaneen Watkins, Harassment Advocate, City of Seattle does not follow up on criminal complaints filed by Council House tenants.

Tenants are battered constantly to act and talk in a certain manner without deviation and accept the abuse heaped upon them by Council House staff who are supported by DeLeon, his board of directors, and their enforcers. Mitchell has been trying to kill me with stress all along using similar strategies to those he has used in the past to incarcerate, terrorize, and torture other tenants.”

JoRene Dodson - Case Study

Mitchell employed Katrina M. Ramsburg a stage actor whom he had met at a theatrical rehearsal as service coordinator. At age 22, and without having finished her degree, Ramsburg had virtually no qualifications except stage acting and claims to have “been doing professional theatre [sic] since the age of five all over the west coast”.

During her second day of employment at Council House she issued a written ultimatum to tenants stating that she wanted to see each of them in her office, presumably for counseling. JoRene Dodson, who had already suffered three years of choreographed ostracism, made an appointment. Dodson says that before opening up to Ramsburg she inquired about her qualifications as a geriatric counselor.

Dodson says that Ramsburg became disrespectful and told her that Dunbar had forewarned her about previous unacceptable behavior. Dodson became angry and admits that she said something to the effect that: “I could kill the bitch for interfering in my affairs”.

Ramsburg repeated her statement to Dunbar who told Mitchell. The trio then concocted a murder scenario which included Dunbar, her one-year old son, and her aunt (Jackie Nations) as victims. Three days later, Mitchell called police and gave Dodson a Hobson’s choice of either going to jail or confinement in a mental institution. She chose the institution where psychiatrists found nothing wrong with her and released her five days later. Ironically, it turned out to be the same institution at which Newman works - Evergreen Healthcare. [*Contractor’s Daughter*] [*Travesty*]

Mitchell then publicly humiliated Dodson by saying at a tenant meeting: “. . . [Dodson] threatened to kill that resident and refused to take it back. It is my responsibility and it’s the law that I call the police and I call the mental health professional. And I did. And they deemed that that person should have been taken away and they were. So, I take that stuff very, very seriously and that is the problem that we had with another resident and that resident no longer lives here because a judge agreed with me.”

Mitchell wrote the final act when Nations committed suicide. He told police that Dodson murdered her (a physical impossibility). This had the effect of moving suspicion away from him and Dunbar for their part in alleged homicide by abuse. Mitchell then constructively evicted Dodson who seven years later lives happily in an independent living apartment arranged for her by a person who cared. [*Homicide by Abuse*]

Co-Presidents Lynn Wartnik and Sheila Sternberg had full knowledge of the Dodson and Nations incidents and granted Mitchell impunity. The latest incident with Hawk shows a distinct pattern or practice of elder abuse for over a decade with board participation or approval.

Sociopathic Raven

The term “sociopath” (formerly psychopath) describes the DSM-IV clinical term “antisocial personality disorder” which defines aggressive, impulsive, antisocial behavior. Approximately 3% of men and 1% of women suffer from this disorder. Mitchell obviously classifies as a sociopath based on his performance as a Council House employee for more than ten years.

Sociopaths often encounter legal difficulties due to their disregard for societal standards and the rights of others which often includes criminal activity. They do not appear to experience a full range of human emotions which explains the lack of empathy for the suffering of others.

Commonly, they remain indifferent to the possibility of physical pain or punishment, and show no indication that they experience fear when threatened; this may explain their apparent disregard for the consequences of their actions, and their lack of empathy when others suffer from their abuse.

The rage or tantrums that they frequently exhibit may represent the limit of emotion that they can experience. They watch and mimic other people’s emotions then act them out to mask their sociopathic tendencies.

Sociopaths often follow the practice of stage actors who study other people then mimic them. Mitchell has training and experience as a stage actor which fits him perfectly to act out his dysfunction and fool his employers and many of the people with whom he comes into contact. [*Thespian Liar*] [*Thespian Liar’s Liars*]

The following three diagnostic surveys describe Mitchell without equivocation. Mitchell qualifies on all counts in addition to his racism. He has had complicity in at least two homicides by abuse and multiple elder abuse that has included incarceration of five people in jails or mental institutions by posing as a mental health professional. None of those people, and a string of black people whom he evicted, had the benefit of due process of law.

Three-Factor Model of Psychopathy ¹		
<i>Arrogant/Deceitful</i>	<i>Deficient Affective Experience</i>	<i>Impulsive/Irresponsible</i>
Glibness/superficial charm	Lack of remorse or guilt	Need for stimulation/ boredom
Egocentricity/Grandiose self-worth	Callous/Lack of empathy	Parasitic lifestyle
Pathological lying	Shallow affect	Lack of realistic, long-term goals
Cunning/Manipulative	No responsibility for own actions	Impulsiveness/Irresponsibility

Diagnostic criteria (ICD-10) ²
<i>Personality Disorder (F60.2), usually coming to attention because of a gross disparity between behavior and prevailing social norms.</i>
Callous unconcern for the feelings of others.
Gross and persistent attitude of irresponsibility and disregard for social norms, rules and obligations.
Incapacity to maintain enduring relationships, though having no difficulty in establishing them.
Very low tolerance to frustration and a low threshold for discharge of aggression, including violence.
Incapacity to experience guilt or to profit from experience, particularly punishment.
Marked proneness to blame others, or to rationalize the behavior that has brought him into conflict with society.

Diagnostic criteria (DSM-IV-TR) ³
<i>DSM-IV defines antisocial personality disorder as a pervasive pattern of disregard for and violation of the rights of others occurring since age 15 years, as indicated by three (or more) of these dysfunctions</i>
Failure to conform to social norms with respect to lawful behaviors as indicated by repeatedly performing acts that are grounds for arrest.
Deceitfulness, as indicated by repeated lying, use of aliases, or conning others for personal profit or pleasure.
Impulsiveness or failure to plan ahead.
Irritability and aggressiveness, as indicated by repeated physical fights or assaults.
Reckless disregard for safety of self or others.
Consistent irresponsibility, as indicated by repeated failure to sustain steady work or honor financial obligations.
Lack of remorse, as indicated by being indifferent to or rationalizing having hurt, mistreated, or stolen from another.

Mitchell graduated at all levels of dysfunction *summa cum laude* (with the highest academic distinction) - the only thing that he has accomplished in a lifetime of lying and deceit. It seems impossible that Council House board of directors have not recognized Mitchell as a dangerous psychotic after working with him for more than ten years. For them to employ such a person as

the manager of an apartment block housing elderly people shows utter disrespect for their tenants and a complete indifference to suffering. [*Neo-Fascism, Violence, and Indifference - Shoah*]

Their disinterest points up the directors' criminal liability for filing perjury to enable Mitchell to ratchet up coercion so that tenants suffer even more from his abuse. One can understand why they wish to remain anonymous. By that, they can achieve even greater profits without responsibility or criminal liability. They rank lower than vermin.

Mitchell threatens eviction at the slightest disagreement with a tenant which he terms "rude and abusive behavior" - a term that he agreed under oath that he could not define. If the tenant responds negatively then he issues an eviction notice. He then suborns perjury to support his action using thugs who instill fear into senior citizens until they sign false and misleading declarations against the targeted tenant.

Nazi Analogy

Hannah Arendt, a philosopher, born in Germany in 1906, emigrated to Paris in 1933 to escape the Nazis and fled to New York in 1941. She covered the Eichmann trial for *The New Yorker*. [*Hannah Arendt*]

In 1960, Adolf Eichmann, kidnapped in Argentina by Israeli agents then smuggled to Israel, stood trial in Jerusalem for crimes against humanity. The court found him guilty and sentenced him to execution by hanging. The trial dragged on for a long time and the prosecution portrayed Eichmann as a much bigger fish than he deserved. However, if anyone ever deserved the death penalty, then Eichmann qualified.

Reading Arendt's account of the Eichmann trial confronts many issues central to current behavior by Council House managers and directors. For several tenants, the horrors of World War II, and especially the actions of the Nazi government with regard to Jews and other ethnic groups deemed inferior and politically dangerous, form part of their past experience.

Kantian injunction claims that all people belong to a human community of individuals and have a responsibility above everything else to that community instead of a responsibility as individuals under the law. The failure of the Seattle judiciary to address elder abuse with awareness leaves the public dangerously incapable of recognizing and dealing with that pernicious attitude in new raiment.

Arendt suffered wide criticism for her discussion of the Nazi-appointed Jewish Leadership Councils (Judenräte) and their role in facilitating Nazi plans. She claimed that if the Judenräte had shown less cooperation Nazi's might have had a harder time. However, after centuries of Jewish strategy that accommodated enemies when it suited them it does not take much understanding to realize why they did not act differently - the same poodle-pandering and exclusionary tactics that exist in the current political climate.

The primary issue at Council House does not only concern Mitchell's psychotic behavior. That would give him credit for having some intelligence or morality. The real issue stems in the indifference and lack of collective morality of the Judenräte - the Council House Board of Directors. Nazis assembled similar councils of Jewish leaders who prepared lists naming people for deportation and assisted in rounding up those they had fingered in cooperation with Jewish police.

Similarly, Council House directors repeatedly file false and misleading reports with police and perjured affidavits with courts to indict people they have singled out. They then assist police and corrupt judges in punishing them without due process of law. As with Council House directors, the Judenräte made exceptions for some while they let others die. The exceptions at Council House include Mitchell and his Kapos.

Arendt classified Eichmann as a "buffoon". His inability to speak intelligently closely paralleled his inability to think from the standpoint of anyone else. No communication was possible with him through his inability to understand reasoned argument and his flagrant contradictions. Speaking for the last time to witnesses at his execution, Eichmann said that he did not believe in life after death then said that he would meet the witnesses again after a short while - contradictory terms typical of those used by the Council House "musick monster".

The difference between Eichmann and Mitchell clearly relates to pathological lying by the latter. Otherwise, they have a common psychological makeup and a principal motive for their behavior - career advancement using evil means devoid of any human compassion.

Eichmann willingly participated in the extermination of millions of people. At his trial he showed no remorse and his principal regret was that his career had not advanced further. Unlike Mitchell, Eichmann did not rank as a fanatical, dangerous charismatic leader. He was a small man following his duties and proud of himself as a law abiding citizen under Hitler's law.

Arendt had no personal interest in defending Germans or German culture when she proposed that the Holocaust predicated upon nothing that could have only happened in Germany. Moreover, Eichmann had no personal hatred of Jews although driven by careerism he became one of the chief functionaries in organizing the Shoah.

Eichmann became a cog in a bureaucratic machinery that governed the Holocaust. He showed how bureaucrats (and Council House directors) do not feel any responsibility for what they do despite blatant criminal culpability.

Jewish critics believed that Eichmann's normalcy was utterly an illusion or a put-on. They claimed that his capacity for monstrous deeds made him a monster. If that truly represents the case then Mitchell must classify as a monster - a cruel and wicked person - devoid of any human compassion. However, a contrary view persists that an Eichmann exists in everyone and that anyone could do what Eichmann did and that everyone must guard against totalitarian

conformity similar to that enforced by Mitchell using similar techniques to those used by Eichmann.

Socrates provided a model of thinking that questioned others to see which ideas would not stand scrutiny. His solitary thinking allowed an internal dialogue - a dialog between him and himself always testing beliefs and actions to grapple with reality.

In his refusal to escape Athens when sentenced to death, Socrates formulated a fundamental positive doctrine of morality: "It is better to suffer wrong than to do wrong." Thinking produced a kind of "don't step beyond this line" that moral people hold as their basis for all behavior. They believe that if they do wrong then the long-term attempt to live with themselves provides a worse punishment than the world could impose.

Participation in any action always implies consent. No individual can collaborate with an evil process such as that which exists at Council House without supporting it in the same way that Jews and the German population at large collaborated or acquiesced with Nazi behavior. The practical consequences nearly always improve if enough people refuse to comply. The real moral imperative does not relate to enemies but to friends and neighbors who do not dissent.

Classification

The issue of group thinking becomes particularly acute when considering the key question raised by the Holocaust: How could so many people from such a culturally rich place becoming willing agents in a diabolically evil program?

The origin of the Final Solution lay in Hitler's own sociopathic personality, something better understood now than at the time. An understanding of what really matters has now become clear. The process requires massive compliance with irrational hatred and willing cooperation of relative non-entities, in particular, the victims themselves.

Without any intent to portray Mitchell as another Hitler, his *modus operandi* contains the same charismatic manipulation that Hitler used to gain public, judicial, and government acceptance of his racist policies. To secure compliance, they both used the manipulation of thought and imagination through classification. Once their victims accepted certain labels they agreed to different treatment; however, here the comparison ends.

Hitler swayed millions while Mitchell can only coerce upward of two hundred elderly and gullible women in a closed community. The danger lies in the fact that he provokes and indulges in violence. Massacre almost always starts with a single death and Mitchell has complicity in two cases of alleged homicide by abuse for which he probably should be serving two life sentences with his assistant Audrey F. Dunbar. He has spent more than ten years indulging in multiple elder and racial abuse that courts and his directors have condoned. [*Who Killed Jackie Nations?*] [*Last Cruel Days. Homicide?*]

In the Third Reich, The first step in extermination relied upon official implementation of a publicly acknowledged classification system. Once established, promoting acquiescence to different treatment became relatively easy. Classification formed the foundation for the apparatus which designated people as having different status: Jews and non-Jews, assimilated Jews and Zionists, native Jews and refugee Jews, Jews in the Judenräte and ordinary Jews. This parallels the Council House system of classification of tenants by race and economic status.

Physical dangers remain important. One should never underestimate the monstrous behavior of Nazis to make active resisters suffer. However, the extent only relates to degree and the ability of the victim to withstand torture. For example, Mitchell could easily have killed the 66-year-old woman in a wheelchair whom he allegedly assaulted because she would not respond to his provocation. He could have killed her just as easily as the blows of Kapos in concentration camps killed inmates.

Moral responsibilities do not begin and end with active resistance. In many cases, passive resistance or the refusal to carry out actions perceived as immoral suffice. Resistance usually relates to the shade of propaganda oppressors use to sell a classification system. Mitchell and his scribes have increased the coercion with propaganda that simulates that which Joseph Goebbels orchestrated in Germany.

Conclusion

Managers seeking to coerce gullible people will generally place a high priority on persuading them to comply with certain actions. They achieve this through propaganda which convinces them that the targets do not rank equally with them in the human community. Mitchell has publicly verbalized those inclinations on several occasions and his directors have implicitly ratified his thinking.

That an all-Jewish board of directors should allow supremacists like Mitchell and his Kapos to terrorize minorities with behavior reminiscent of the Gestapo strikes democratic people as truly oxymoronic. The directors must fire Mitchell before others die as a result of violent assault, gross neglect, and elder abuse.

As a sociopathic demagogue, Mitchell knows that fear and rabble-rousing provide the key to his success. A stage actor posing as a building manager, his orations appeal to the passions and prejudices of his audience and promote a subversive alternative ethos to advance his own goals.

Mitchell controls the extremes of an elitist board of directors and an impoverished minority population - he plays both ends against the middle. He has turned a minor administrative position for which he has no credentials into a quagmire of self-aggrandizement. Through demagoguery and hate speech he has manipulated multiculturalism (a doctrine that claims several different cultures can co-exist equitably in a single environment) to destroy mutual respect among individuals and the acceptance of difference embraced by diversity.

Paradoxically, Mitchell accuses residents of racism yet follows zero tolerance and absolutist ideologies himself. He screams anti-Semitism every time anyone criticizes the board's aberrant behavior and threatens non-Jewish tenants with action by the Anti-Defamation League (ADL). Unfortunately, the acquiescence of an all-Jewish board of directors to his totalitarian tactics gives an aura of respectability to the current worldwide increase in anti-Semitism caused by exclusionist ideologies.

Nmesis.

1. Cooke and Michie eliminated criteria related to criminal incarceration and juvenile delinquency and statistically analyzed three factors of psychopathy. This conception of the psychopathic personality is better applicable outside forensic populations.

2. International Classification of Diseases V (Tenth Revision). Criteria for diagnosing the related construct of dissocial personality disorder.

3. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Fourth Edition), American Psychiatric Association, 2000. Widely used manual for diagnosing mental and behavioral disorders.

© Copyright 2007 by Paul Trummel

All Rights Reserved: 6 Feb 07/11:25

Edition: #801-45-60/07-0303-1328

Feedback: Webspinner@ContraCabal.org